PATENT COOPERATION TREATY **NECE**

MAR 2 8 2006 From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: BLAKELY, SOKOLOFE MOTHERS THOM OF TRANSMITTAL OF HE WANT TEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN Attn. Vincent, Lester J. SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, ENTERED Los Angeles, CA 90025

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA MAR 2 8 2006

MAR 2 8 2000

LATIF UFP (PCT Rule 44.1) STATUS DB/LA

14/01/2005

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 27/03/2006

(dav/month/year)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below P18129PCT International application No. International filing date

Applicant

PCT/US2005/001281 INTEL CORPORATION

The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.

Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:

The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): When? The time limit for filling such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report.

Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41-22) 338.82.70 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

- The applicant is hereby notified that no International search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
- 3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
 - the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.

4. Reminders

Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the International application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3. respectively. before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.

Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority Authorized officer

> European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Riiswiik Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Ahmed Soliman

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filting of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treate, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Quivile, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative instructions, respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (all-since soft price and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g., the applicant whants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only (see PCT Applicant's Guide, Annexes B1 and B2).

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that amendments to the claims under Article 19 are not allowed where the International Searching Authority has declared, under Article 17(2), that no international search report would be established (see *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume IAb, paragraph 296).

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the International phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the international Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

	(PCT Afficie 18 and Rules 43 a	anu 44)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference P18129PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	as well	see Form PCT/ISA/220 as, where applicable, item 5 below.		
International application No.	International filing date (day/mont/	v/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US2005/001281	14/01/2005		04/02/2004		
Applicant					
INTEL CORPORATION					
This international Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Sear ansmitted to the International Bureau	rching Auti	nority and is transmitted to the applicant		
This International Search Report consists	of a total of she	ets.			
X it is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document of	ited in this	report.		
A Bada dibanasah					
Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the ianguage in which it was filed, unit	international search was carried out less otherwise indicated under this li	on the ba	sis of the international application in the		
The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnisher this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).					
b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. i.					
2. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box II).					
3. Unity of Invention is lacking (see Box III).					
4. With regard to the title,					
X the text is approved as si	ubmitted by the applicant.				
	shed by this Authority to read as folio	ows:			
	TRED				
	TING REQUIRE				
	OCKETING REQUIRED N.A.				
1	144				
With regard to the abstract, X the text is approved as s	ubmitted by the applicant.				
the text has been establi	shed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by	this Author	ity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant		
may, within one month fr	om the date of mailing of this interna	ational sea	rch report, submit comments to this Authority.		
O Mills second to the decode					
With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be	nublished with the shetract is Figure	No			
a. the ligure of the drawings to be					
	nis Authority, because the applicant	tailed to su	ggest a figure.		
_	nis Authority, because this figure bet				
b. X none of the figures is to	be published with the abstract.				

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No DCT /UC200E /001201

			FC1/U32005/U01281
A. CLASSIF	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER G06F9/38 G06F9/46		
According to B. FIELDS:	International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification	ssification and IPC	
	SEARCHED cumentation searched (classification system followed by class	ification symbols)	
	G06F	,	
Documentat	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent	that such documents are inclu	ded in the fields searched
Electronic de	ata base consulted during the international search (name of da	te heep and, where practical	search forms (seaf)
	ternal, INSPEC		,
C. DOCUME	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of ti	he relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 2002/184290 A1 (OLSZEWSKI E ET AL) 5 December 2002 (2002-1 page 2, right-hand column, par 22.26	12-05)	1-28
	page 3, left-hand column, para paragraph 29	agraph 28 -	
Α	"Dynamic logical partitioning eserver pSeries" IBM WHITE PAPER, 8 October 2002 (2002-10-08), p XP002288348		1,3,5,7, 8,10, 12-15, 17-20, 22,24, 26,27
	page 1, right-hand column, par page 2, right-hand column, par page 3, left-hand column, para paragraph 2	agraph 1	20,27
Furt	ther documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family r	nembers are listed in annex.
 Special ca 	ategories of cited documents :	"T" later document pub	lished after the international filing date
"A" docum	ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance	or priority date an cited to understan invention	d not in conflict with the application but d the principle or theory underlying the
	document but published on or after the international	"X" document of partic	ular relevance; the claimed invention
"L" docume	ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or	involve an inventi	ered novel or cannot be considered to we step when the document is taken alone
citatio	i is cited to establish the publication date of another on or other special reason (as specified) nent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or	"Y" document of partic cannot be conside document is comb	ular relevance; the claimed invention ared to involve an inventive step when the bined with one or more other such docu-
other 'P' docum	means ent published prior to the international filing date but	ments, such comb in the art.	pination being obvious to a person skilled
later t	than the priority date claimed		of the same patent family the international search report
	20 March 2006	27/03/2	
	mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer	
	European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NI - 2280 HV Rijswijk		
	Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Daskala	ıkis, T

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No PCT/US2005/001281

	Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
A1	05-12-2002	CZ	20033245	A3	18-02-2004
		EP	1393175	A2	03-03-2004
		WO	02097622	A2	05-12-2002
		HU	0500897	A2	28-12-2005
	A1	date	A1 05-12-2002 CZ EP WO	A1 05-12-2002 CZ 20033245 EP 1393175 W0 02097622	A1 05-12-2002 CZ 20033245 A3 EP 1393175 A2 WO 02097622 A2

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	To:			PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
see form PCT/ISA/220							
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
			International filing date (c 14.01.2005	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 04.02.2004		
	rnational Patent Clas /. G06F9/38 G06		both national classification	and IPC			
	olicant	ION					
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the foll	owing items:			
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the on	inion				
	Box No. II	Priority					
	☐ Box No. III		nent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention			,			
	Box No. V		ement under Rule 43bis	s.1(a)(i) with regard to	novelty, inventive step or industrial		
			tations and explanations	s supporting such state	ement		
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum		s supporting such state	ement		
	☐ Box No. VI☐ Box No. VII	Certain docum			ement		
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain docum	ents cited	plication	ement		
2.	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects Certain observ	ents cited s in the international app	plication	ement		
2.	Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for written opinion of the applicant ch	Certain docum Certain defects Certain observ ION international pre of the Internation coses an Author reau under Rule	ents cited s in the international apprations on the internation liminary examination is all Preliminary Examinar ity other than this one to	ofication nal application made, this opinion will g Authority ("IPEA"). Ho b be the IPEA and the	usually be considered to be a slowever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notified the tional Searching Authority		
2.	Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for written opinion of the applicant challent applicant could will not be so could fit his opinion is submit to the IP	Certain docum Certain defect: Certain observ ION international pre of the Internation coses an Author reau under Rule insidered. as provided ab: EA a written rep odate of mailing odate of mailing	ents cited is in the international apparations on the internation liminary examination is a Preliminary Examinin ity other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written cove, considered to be a by together, where approx	olication made, this opinion will g Authority ("IPEA"). I- be the IPEA and the pinions of this Interna written opinion of the I	usually be considered to be a dowever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the		
2.	Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for written opinion the applicant of International Bu will not be so co If this opinion is submit to the IP months from the whichever expir	Certain docum Certain defect: Certain observ ION international pre of the Internation coses an Author reau under Rule insidered. as provided ab: EA a written rep odate of mailing odate of mailing	ents cited in the international appratations on the internation iminary examination is al Preliminary Examinin ity other than this one to 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written cove, considered to be a ly together, where appro of Form PCT/ISA/220 or	olication made, this opinion will g Authority ("IPEA"). I- be the IPEA and the pinions of this Interna written opinion of the I	usually be considered to be a towever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notified the tional Searching Authority PEA, the applicant is invited to nts, before the expiration of three		

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Daskalakis, T

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3779



Box No. I Basis of the opinion

	the la	inguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	- 1	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2.	With nece	n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and essary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. type of material:							
		a sequence listing						
		table(s) related to the sequence listing						
	b. for	mat of material:						
		in written format						
		in computer readable form						
	c. tin	ne of filling/furnishing:						
		contained in the international application as filed.						
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
-		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. stinnal comments:						
_	Box	No. II Priority						
1.	⊠	The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43b/s.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.						
2		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filling date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
3	Addi	tional observations, if necessary:						

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 7, 9, 13, 14, 18, 19, 26, 28 No: Claims 1-6, 8, 10-12, 15-17, 20-25, 27

No. Claims 1-0, 0, 10-12, 13-17, 20-23, 27

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims none No: Claims 1-28

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-28 No: Claims none

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

- 1 Reference is made to the following document:
 - United States Patent Application Publication US2002/0184290 (D1).
- 2 The subject matter of claim 1 is not novel over the prior art (Rule 64.1 PCT) and thus claim 1 does not meet the requirements of Article 33 (2) PCT, for the following reasons:
- 2.1 D1 discloses all procedural steps of the method of claim 1 (§ 29).
- 3 Independent claims 10, 15 and 20 comprise, albeit in the form of apparatus features or computer program, the same subject matter as claim 1.
- 3.1 In particular referring to claim 15. D1 does not explicitly disclose firmware to instruct the first logical processor to enter an idle state. However, this is directly and unambiguously derivable from the wording of D1 on page 3, §32.
- 3.2 Consequently, claims 10, 15 and 20 do not fulfill the requirements of Article (33 (2) PCT for the reasons presented above.
- 4 The additional features of dependent claims 2-9, 11-14, 16-19 and 21-28 do not add anything new (Article 33 (2) PCT) or inventive (Article 33 (3) and Rule 65 (1), (2), PCT) to claim 1. Hence claims 2-9, 11-14, 16-19 and 21-28 do not meet the requirements of Article (33 (2)7(3) PCT for the following reasons:
- 4.1 D1 discloses the subject matter of claims 2, 3, 6, 21, 22 and 25 (see relevant passages on International Search Report).
- 4.2 The additional features of claims 4, 11, 16 and 23 (see point 3.1) are directly and unambiguously derivable from D1.

- 4.3 The additional features of claims 5, 8, 12, 17, 24 and 27 are directly and unambiguously derivable from D1 (page 3, § 29 last sentence).
- 4.4 The additional features of claims 7, 13, 14 18, 19 and 26 are well known in the art.
- 4.5 The additional features of claims 9 and 28 represent common design choice producing no unexpected technical effect. D1 already suggests that cache entries may be deallocated into a free pool of execution resources (page 3, § 29 last sentence).
- 5 Claims 1-28 address a technical problem in the field of computer architectures and as such they fulfill the requirements for industrial applicability (Article 33 (4) PCT).
